SUBJECT: Quarterly Certification of Procurement Data Submissions to FPDS-NG

	Bureau/Organization Name:								
2. <u>Date of Submission Certification</u> : I certify that% of all reportable contract actions awarded during FY, Quarter # for my bureau/organization have been entered into FPDS-NG as fully and accurately as reasonably possible as of the date of my signature. Bureaus unable to certify entry of 100% of their reportable contract actions must discuss the reasons for this, and their plans to remedy this situation in Section 5 of this Certification.									
3. Fed	Substantiation of Certification: Given the important leral Reports, what have you done to substantiate you				all				
	 a. Collected certifications from subordinate offices. b. Through statistically correct processes, compared FPDS data to data in the corresponding contract 	files.	☐ YES☐ YES	NO NO					
	c. Other V&V actions taken for FY '08 (Provide in for Attachment A)d. Data accuracy rates provided in format of Attachment A		□ YES	□ NO.					
4.	Data Submission Method: Check the data submiss	sion method(s) used by yo	ur department/ager	ncy:				
	a. Contract Writing System (CWS)	□ YES	%	□ NO					
	b. Web Portal (On-line login) c. Other		% %	□ NO □ NO					
If "Y	'es" for 4.a., please name Contract Writing System(s)		_	_					
lf "Y	es" for 4.c., please describe the "Other" method(s) use								
that have the	Explanation of Data Missing from Certification: Lourement data that are not included in this certification have been unable to enter their data into FPDS as we not passed the FPDS data validation routines. For enumber, dollar value, and age of the missing records a ords into FPDS.	. Please ide ell as CWS d each categor	ntify data belo lata and "draft y of missing F	onging to organizati "FPDS records that PDS records, indic	ons at				
6.	General Comments (Include on an added page if	needed):							
7.	Have you submitted a FPDS Data quality control plan?								
	☐ Yes ☐ No			•					
`	Is your FPDS data quality control plan up to date?								
	□ Yes □ No								

Э.	contracting actions did you report last quarter, and what is the total dollars obligated for these actions? If <u>not</u> , how many have been reported, how many remain to be reported, and what is the total dollars obligated for each?		
	Total Actions:		
	Total Dollars Obligated:		
10.	Did you review the number of actions shown for this quarter in the sample size document provided to you by the Office of Acquisition and Property Management?		
	□ Yes □ No		
11.	How many of your procurement actions entered last quarter did you review, and what is the total dollars obligated for these actions? (Please attach your Quarterly Reviewed Actions Report)		
	Total Actions Reviewed:		
	Total Dollars Obligated (Reviewed Actions):		
12.			
12.	BUREAU PROCUREMENT CHIEF NAME (Printed)		
40			
13.	BUREAU PROCUREMENT CHIEF SIGNATURE Date		

Bureau Name:	
. iscal Year, and Quarter of FPDS Data:	

Quarterly Results of Statistically Valid Comparison of FPDS Data and Contract Files

Please summarize the results collected into this Attachment and Attachment C. Please also discuss any systemic causes of invalid data in as much detail as you can, with particular attention to errors caused by FPDS or any other components of the Integrated Acquisition Environment. Use additional pages as needed.

Guidance for Making Statistically Valid Comparison of FPDS Data and Contract Files

, his attachment provides guidance on how bureaus are expected to conduct statistically valid comparisons of their FPDS data and the underlying contract files. This guidance also includes definitions of key terms, e.g., Overall Accuracy Rate.

Overall Accuracy Rate – The percent of all the FPDS data elements sampled which were determined to be correct, i.e., they matched the corresponding data in the contract files and the data in the contract files were correct. For purposes of this report, only compute the overall data accuracy for the data elements reported on Exhibit 2. Do not include in this computation the accuracy of other data elements the bureau might choose to validate for its own purposes.

Data Element Accuracy Rate – The percentage of entries for each selected data element in the sampled contract action records that were determined to be correct, i.e., the entry matched the corresponding data in the contract file and the data in the contract file was correct. Only data elements appropriate for the type of record being validated should be counted in computing the accuracy rate; e.g., only count the accuracy of the Reason for Modification element in modification records. Data elements that have been optional in FPDS should be validated as though they had been required. Therefore, data elements should only be blank if they do not apply to that record type. Data elements that apply to the type of record being reviewed must not be blank and must be supported by information present in the contract file or contract writing system.

Total Sample Size – This is the total number of FPDS contract action records selected by all subordinate reporting activities for comparison to the corresponding contract files.

Percent of Total Procurement Spend Covered by Sample – This is computed by dividing the total obligations associated with the contract action records sampled by the total obligations associated with all contract actions.

Bureau Name:							
iscal Year and Quarter of FPDS Data: Overall Accuracy Rate:%							
Quarterly Accuracy Rates for Key Data Elements Systemic Causes of Invalid Data (please check all that apply)							
Data Element Name	Accuracy Ra	te (%)	<u>User</u>	<u> FPDS</u>	Other		
1A Procurement Identifier							
1C Referenced IDV ID							
2A Date Signed							
2C Completion Date							
2D Est. Ultimate Completion Date							
2E Last Date to Order			_				
3A Base and All Options Value							
3B Base and Exercised Options Value							
3C Action Obligation							
4C Funding Agency ID							
4F Funded by Foreign Entity							
5B Who Can Use							
5D Fee for Use of Service							
5J Fee Paid for Use of IDV							
6A Type of Contract							
6F Performance Based Service Acquisition							
6M Description of Requirement							
7A Clinger Cohen Act							
7B Walsh-Healy Act							
C Service Contract Act							
/D Davis Bacon Act							
8A Product/Service Code							
8G Principal NAICS Code							
8K Use of EPA Designated Products							
8L Use of Recovered Material							
8N Bundled Contract							
9A DUNS No							
9C Principal Place of Performance Code							
9H Place of Manufacture							
9K Place of Performance ZIP Code(+4)							
10A Extent Competed							
10C Reason Not Competed							
10N Type of Set Aside							
10P Evaluated Preference							
10R Statutory Exception to Fair Opportunity							
11A CO's Business Size Selection							
11B Subcontract Plan							
12A IDV Type							
12B Award Type							
12C Reason for Modification (if 12D = M)	<u></u>						
13N 8(a) Program Participant							
130 HUB Zone Firm							
3P Small Disadvantaged Business							
.3U Woman Owned Business							
13V Veteran Owned Business							
13W Service-Related DVOB							